



Delhi Metropolitan Education

Affiliated to GGSIPU, New Delhi & Approved by Bar Council of India



Introduces



DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020

(PADMA SHRI M.C. MEHTA EDITION)

20th March 2020 – 22nd March 2020



Partners

Latest
Laws.com

SCC
ONLINE

7LawOF
For Law Students & Academics

Lawctopus

LAWTELLER

Live
Law



DME MOOT COURT SOCIETY (DMECS)

Patrons

Mr. Vipin Sahni
(Chairman, DME)

Chief Advisors

Hon'ble Justice Bhanwar Singh
Director General, DME
(Former Judge, Allahabad High Court)

ADVISORS

Mr. Aman Sahni
(Vice-Chairman, DME)
Prof. (Dr.) Ravi Kant Swami
(Director, DME)
Prof. (Dr.) N. K. Bahl
Dean (Law)
Prof. (Dr.) Bhavish Gupta
Head of Academics (Law)

FACULTY ORGANIZERS

Convener

Dr. R.K Randhawa
(Asst. Prof. DME Law School)

Co-Convener

Mr. Mukesh Kalwani
(Asst. Prof. DME Law School)

STUDENT ORGANIZERS

Mr. Vinay Joshi

(Convener)

Ms. Gayatri Swani

(Co-Convener)

Mr. Kartikey Maithani

(Co-Convener)

Any other queries (other than the Moot Problem) may be directed at the following persons:

Dr. R.K. Randhawa	Faculty Convener	+91 8968301301
Mr. Mukesh Kalwani	Faculty Co-Convener	+91 9250700634
Mr. Vinay Joshi	Student Convener	+91 6395613049
Ms. Gayatri Swani Mr. Kartikey Maithani	Student Co-Convener(s)	+91 9717391639 +91 9990819928
Mr. Varun Dixit	Secretary	+91 7982450464



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- ◇ About the College
- ◇ From Vice-Chairman's Desk
- ◇ Message from the Director General
- ◇ Message from the Director
- ◇ Message from the Dean- DME Law School
- ◇ Message from Head of Academics
- ◇ Messages from the Conveners and Co-Conveners
- ◇ About the 1st Innovative International Moot Court Competition
(Concept of Competition)
- ◇ Rules & Regulations
- ◇ Moot Proposition
- ◇ Timeline of the Competition
- ◇ Bank Details and Contact Details
- ◇ Registration Form (Law students and Non-Law students)
- ◇ Travel Form

ABOUT THE COLLEGE

Delhi Metropolitan Education is a premier Educational Institute affiliated to the **Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi**. The college offers **B.A.LLB (H)**, **B.BA.LLB (H)**, **B.A.(JMC)** and **BBA** course with premium quality infrastructure and excellent academic facilities to provide a dynamic and clinical ground for success. It is promoted by the founders of Mayoor School, Noida (in collaboration with GC MAYO College, Ajmer) and DPS World School, Noida Extension. Our vision at **DME** is “to create an inspirational setting where global standards of learning, ethical professional practices and academic and scholarly deliberations are practiced towards attaining individual growth.”

The institute is strategically located at a few minutes distance from the prime localities of Noida, Vaishali, Indirapuram, Mayur Vihar, Laxmi Nagar, Kaushambi, Ghaziabad etc. Adjacent to NH24, it is within 5-7 minutes driving distance from Delhi Border. The architecture of the institute is inspired by the ancient Greek Doric Pillars and many modern facilities including the impressive Nelson Mandela Auditorium, Rabindranath Tagore Library, exquisite audio and video studios for journalism, a moot court for law, a legal aid center, computer labs, conference rooms and a cafeteria are some of the invaluable assets of the institute.

Since its inception, the college has attained numerous laurels to its credit and has successfully attained a distinguished stature. For two consecutive years it has bagged '**A - Grade**' Certificate from the **G.G.S.I.P.U.** The college has numerous societies which are involved in diverse fields & activities ranging from the cultural arts, photography, academics to scholarly events. The societies not only successfully organize Internal and National Events but also participate in National and International level competitions with great enthusiasm, adroitness, efficiency and skillfulness.

The **Department Of Law**, has created a professional and healthy competitive environment for its students, ensuring that they get the best understanding of law. It also continuously works hard and focuses on improving the professional skills of their students and provides them with the best academic and practical experiences. The **Department of Law**, **DME** has organized many triumphant National Events the most recent being the 3rd **National Seminar** titled “**Litigating Equality: Are Human Rights Effective?**” which had over 100 participants from all over the country.



FROM VICE CHAIRMAN'S DESK



Mr. Aman Sahni
Vice-Chairman,
Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida

Students of Law today are more active; they have numerous competitions and events. At DME, I have seen the amount of preparations, dedication and efforts that go into organizing one Moot Court Event.

Our Faculty and students are very passionate about mooting and this is reflected in the growing level of our Annual Competitions. This is also in sync with our larger objective of seeing DME as the leading Institution for legal education in the Country.

I wish all those associated with the first International Innovative Moot Court Competition, 2020 the very best of luck.

MESSAGE FROM DIRECTOR GENERAL



Hon'ble Justice Bhanwar Singh

(Former Judge, Allahabad High Court)

Director General, Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida

Argument is an art by itself and a skillful argumentative quality proves to be a boon, to an advocate and a judge, both. True Advocacy skills helps the judicial system in emerging out with the best judgments, which serves as a guiding principle for the future generations to come. A moot court event provides a forum to the law students to show and sharpen their legal skills. With that goal to achieve, DME School of law, Noida is organizing its 1st Innovative International Moot Court event after the success of 3 National Moot Courts in the previous years, inviting law students from across the country. I am sure the budding law students will certainly provide skilled arguments which may prove to be a good guide in future even in the legally established courts of our country.

I wish the event a great success

FROM THE DESK OF THE DIRECTOR



Prof. (Dr.) Ravi Kant Swami
Director
Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida

After successfully organizing 3 National Moots, moving to the 1st Innovative International Moot Court Competition is like moving to the next orbit. With this event, the DME Law School will move to the next level in two ways. First, from National to International level and second, from a traditional moot to an innovative one by including students from the fields like Political Science, Sociology, Psychology, etc. which form the basis of law

The innovation specifically aims at bridging the gap between the law & non-law students. The non-law students will represent the State or act as a Social Activist and the law students will represent the advocacy.

This moot will provide a stage for converging to the Indian diversity & the global diversity. Students from across continents will hone their moot skills during this 3-day program and will have a cross cultural exposure. Padam Shri MC Mehta was the first person to endorse DME's idea of an innovative international moot & thus this 1st edition is named after the Ramon Magsaysay Awardee.

I trust that this event will pave the path for institutionalization of a truly international event at DME.

FROM THE DESK OF DEAN



Prof. (Dr.) N. K. Bahl
Dean (Law)
Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida

The art of mooting plays a vital role in each individual's personality as, every individual is trying to penetrate the legal field through its skills and talent. The practice of mooting gives a close end experience in the actual realms of the working of the court. It is an activity which acts as a test for each and every law student eagerly waiting to be a part of the field of litigation. Propelled by the success of 3rd National Moot Court Competition organized by the Moot Court Society of Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida, we have now embraced to raise the bar and host the 1st DME Innovative International Moot Court Competition.

There is a proverb that practice makes a man perfect. The closest experience that a law student can get to appearing in a court is mooting. I hope that participants shall make use of doctrine of ratio decidendi to demolish the arguments of their opponents. I wish the participants all the luck for the competition and a wonderful opportunity to learn and grow."

FROM THE DESK OF HEAD OF ACADEMICS



Dr. Bhavish Gupta
Head of Academics (Law)
Delhi Metropolitan Education, Noida

A moot court competition simulates a court hearing (usually an appeal against a final decision), in which participants analyse a problem, research the relevant law, prepare written submissions, and present oral argument. Moot problems are typically set in areas of law that are unsettled or that have been subject to recent developments. They usually involve two grounds of appeal, argued by each side. Moot court is the paramount activity of a Law college. It provides practical knowledge of Law and gives a first hand experience of the court craft and etiquettes. It helps greatly in inculcating skills of advocacy. DME Law school offers a congenial environment for the development and enhancement of the personality of students with these opportunities to be utilised in the best interest and overall development. The DME Moot Court Society has come a long way since its first edition in 2017. With a spirit as enthused, it seeks to progress and endeavours to achieve distinguished results and set new benchmarks of success.

MESSAGES FROM THE CONVENERS



Dr. R. K. Randhawa
Faculty Convener, DMECS

Mooting helps in overall development of an individual as a good and proficient lawyer by enhancing practical knowledge, teamwork, research and writing skills and building confidence. DME Law School has a vibrant mooting culture and a proactive Moot Court Society which regularly organizes workshops on mooting, client counselling and moot court competitions. It is a proud feeling to be a part of DME Moot Court Society which strives for raising the standards of research and innovation and creating a platform for the future pioneers of legal field who are globally competent and technologically skilled to respond to the future challenges of emergent national and international scenarios.



Mr. Vinay Joshi
Student Convener, DMECS

Great Canadian environmentalist Sir Farley Mowat Stated that “law is life”. With an idea to make the whole concept of mooting more meaningful and a tool of social change, the DMECS feels elated to introduce the 1st Innovative International Moot Court Competition which is first of its kind in the country and will aim to provide a solution to the existing lacunae in the present laws along with active participation of the international students from various countries.

As the student convener for the DMECS, I hope that this moot Court Competition provides a unique learning experience to the participating teams and helps them in sharpening their communication, client counselling skills along with, arguing techniques and social awareness.

MESSAGES FROM THE CO-CONVENERS



Mr. Mukesh Kalwani
Faculty Co-Convener, DME MCS

Moot court is the paramount activity of a Law college. It provides practical knowledge of Law and gives a firsthand experience of the court craft and etiquettes. It helps greatly in inculcating skills of advocacy.

DME Law school offers a congenial environment for the development and enhancement of the personality of students with these opportunities to be utilized in the best interest and overall development. The DME Moot Court Society has come a long way since its first edition in 2017. With a spirit as enthused, it seeks to progress and endeavors to achieve distinguished



Ms. Gayatri Swani
Student Co-Convener, DME MCS

Admission into a professional course is not an achievement but an opportunity to hone one's professional skills and one must not let it pass by. Mooting is the best way a law student can develop their advocacy skills during their journey in a law school. The students are practically taught honesty of purpose, industrious engagement rendering justice as a judge, and helping to render justice as an advocate, serving of the society and philosophical free thinking and solving legal problems independently. It improves your ability to think on your feet. Most students find mooting to be intellectually rewarding and highly enjoyable. It can be nerve-racking but it is a lot of fun for the experience that you gain from it. Above that, given the extraordinary amounts of preparatory work involved in organising a Moot Court Competition, the DME Moot Court Society is an ever-bustling centre of activity, and is without wonder, a coveted Society to be in.

ABOUT ADV. MC MEHTA



Padma Shri M.C. Mehta is a renowned Public Interest Advocate who has won numerous landmark judgments from India's Supreme Court since 1984, including introducing lead-free gasoline to India and reducing the industrial pollution fouling the Ganges and eroding the Taj Mahal.

He has succeeded in getting new environmental policies initiated and has brought environmental protection into India's constitutional framework. He has almost single-handedly obtained about 40 landmark judgments and numerous orders from the Supreme Court against polluters, a record that may be unrivalled by any other environmental lawyer in the world.

He is currently working with the M.C Mehta Environmental Foundation, an NGO that provides training programs for aspiring environmental attorneys and runs numerous environmental justice campaigns.

Fortunately for Delhi Metropolitan Education, he has given the college his blessings and will be associated with the team for the 1st DME Innovative International Moot Court Competition.

ABOUT 1ST DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION (CONCEPT)

DME Law School strives for bringing in a positive change in the status quo of the society through innovative and practical ideas. The DMEMCS, under the aegis of Faculty and Student conveners and the MCS student Executive Board has organized a number of prestigious moots, including the DME National Moot Court Competitions (DMENMCC) in the years 2017, 2018 and 2019 all of these moots were one of their kind as the problem for the moot and the rules for the competition were drafted in a way which allowed the participants to use an innovative approach while mooting.

The DMEMCS understands the need of the changing time and hence this year we have come up with an idea of bringing in an innovative approach to the Moot Court Competition and make it something more than just courtroom debating.

The Delhi Metropolitan Education Moot Court Society feels elated to propose the first-ever moot in the country that will have active participation of the non-law students as social activists and state representatives and the moot will not only sharpen the advocacy skills of the law students but will provide them with a first-hand experience to the participants to deal with their clients, the moot proposition is drafted on the issue concerning the current challenges to environment. Through the moot the international students with a Non-Law background will get a chance to experience the court room proceedings and through the policy drafting workshop they will be able to draft a policy which will provide a solution to the problem raised in the proposition.

The focus of the DMEMCS through this moot is to –

- Bring innovation to the traditional mooting**
- To bring in a solution for a social issue that can be a tool of a social change**
- To find out ways through which justice can be delivered to the doorsteps of citizens.**

All the above-mentioned goals are being discussed in depth below, followed by a detailed Moot Plan

Innovation

The DME – Moot Court Society strives to bring in certain innovative changes to the mooting culture in the country, with an idea to have a meaningful and a practical competition, This year the Moot Court Society aims to provide the participants with a first-hand experience of communicating and dealing with the clients (victim teams) who are either in different cities or are overseas.

This idea is one of its kinds in the country where the participants will not only prepare to argue in the Court of Law but will also gain an opportunity to connect with the petitioners (international participants) of the case. It is to be noticed that the said victims will be the students of various courses like B.S.W (Bachelor of Social Work) and M.S.W (Master of Social Work), volunteers of various reputed N.G.O's and other courses. The idea behind the participation of non-law students is that every activist has to knock the court's door to secure justice, therefore, this Moot Court Competition will provide the non-law students to experience the questions and the accusations that the petitioners face at times also this moot will provide them a platform to share their experiences from their respective countries in form of a policy.

The idea is to deliver justice to door-step -

As stated earlier Moot Court Society aims to innovate the way moots are conducted in the country. Today, moots have become limited to the courtroom debating and basic research on a fictitious moot proposition which fails to provide any solution to the problem that concerns the society. The DME Moot Court Society aims to fill this gap between the fiction and reality hence the moot problem which will pose a question that demands the solution to the issue as its answer, Also the non-law students will draft a policy that the state should implement to bring in a change in the society with regards to the problem concerned this will not only give a positive perspective to the moot court competition but will also help in understanding the problem suggesting ways through a policy by which the government can deliver justice to the door-steps of the citizens. The best policy will be sent to the appropriate government of the State as a suggestion from our side so as to contribute our bit as a resident of this Society.

RULES & REGULATIONS

I. INTRODUCTION

1. *Short Title:*

These Rules shall be called the '1st Innovative DME International Moot Court Competition Rules, 2020'.

2. *Definitions:*

Unless otherwise stated following shall be construed herein under as-

- i. **“Appellant”** shall include Appellant, Plaintiff, Petitioner, Complainant, Prosecution, victim/Social activist or the officer representing the state.
- ii. **“Bench”** refers to the members duly invited to adjudge the oral rounds, collectively.
- iii. **“Clarifications”** means explanation published by the Host College on the moot problem, at any point of time of the competition to a query posed by any participating team within the given deadline.
- iv. **“Compendium”** means a compilation or collection of legal or other authorities that any participating team seeks to rely upon during the round of oral pleadings.
- v. **“The letter to the court”** means the letter that will be drafted by the social activists (non-law students) under the legal counseling provided by the counsels (law students) and the hard copy of which will be submitted in an envelope with the memorials during the desk registrations.
- vi. **“Draft Policy”** means the policy that must be sent to policy@dme.ac.in on the day of the desk registration.
- vii. **“Final Policy”** means the policy that has to be sent to policy@dme.ac.in on the third i.e. The Final day of competition till 10:00 AM.
- viii. **“Policy Abstract”** means a document explaining the policy in brief (not more than 500 words)
- ix. **“Competition”** means 1st DME Innovative International Moot Court Competition, - Padma Shri M.C Mehta Edition 2020.
- x. **“Moot Proposition”** means the Proposition released by the Organizers on the date specified in Rule II (2) and shall include any subsequent clarification(s) as and when issued by the Organizers.
- xi. **“Oral Score”** means the scores given by the judge to a particular orator of the participating team pleading before it during the round of oral assessment.
- xii. **“Orator”** means one of the two designated speakers in a participating team.
- xiii. **“Organizers”** means Moot Court Society and, Delhi Metropolitan Education.
- xiv. **“Participating Team”** means the team which is eligible to participate in the competition after completion of registration procedure.
- xv. **“Participating Institution”** shall be presumed to be the parent institution of the participating teams.
- xvi. **“Respondent”** shall include Defendant, Respondent, Defense, etc.
- xvii. **“Rules”** means the 1st Innovative DME International Moot Court Competition Rules.
- xviii. **“Team Code”** means a unique code assigned by the Host College to the provisionally registered the participating team.
- xix. **Social Activist/State Representative: means the non-law students who will be counseled by the law students of their respective teams and draft the policy suggesting a solution to the social issue raised in the moot proposition.**
- xx. **Social Activist/ State Representative Q&A Score: means the score allotted to the Social Activist/ state Representative for the answers they provide the court during the court hearing in the Q&A Session.**
- xxi. **“Written Submissions”** means the memorandum of written submissions (memorials) submitted by law students of any participating team according to the rules of the Competition.

II . GENERAL RULES

1. *Date and Venue of the Competition:*

The 1st Innovative DME International Moot Court Competition, 2020 will be held from 20th March 2020 to 22nd March 2020 at the Delhi Metropolitan Education, School of Law, Noida Sector 62, Uttar Pradesh.

2. *Date of Release of Moot Proposition:*

The Moot Proposition will be released on 24th January 2020.

3. *Team Eligibility and Composition:*

Team Eligibility for Registration:

For Law Students:

Participation is strictly restricted to bona fide law students pursuing three-year or five-year LL.B. degree course in any college in India. Students pursuing their LL.M. courses are also eligible for participation.

A maximum of three and minimum of two members are allowed per participating team. In a team consisting of three members, two shall be designated as 'Speakers' and the third member shall be designated as 'Researcher'.

Only one team is permitted to participate from each participating institution.

For Non-Law Students (Social Activists/ victims or State Representatives)

Participation for the non-law background students in the competition is strictly restricted to bona fide students of foreign origin except two participants representing India. Students pursuing a degree, diploma in social work, or any other courses in any college/ University in or outside India.

Team Composition and Construction:

The composition of the teams will be done in 3 stages

STAGE 1

In the first step towards the team composition will be registration where both the law and non law students will register themselves separately.

***THE NON-LAW STUDENTS WILL BE THEN MERGED TO FORM A TEAM OF TWO BY THE ORGANISERS on first come first serve basis.**

STAGE 2


The second stage will be the merger of non- law students

(POLICY MAKERS /SOCIAL ACTIVISTS/ VICTIMS / STATE REPRESENTATIVES)

STAGE 3

In the third stage the final merge of the teams from the law and non- law background will take place. The team so formed by this merger will consist of 5members (maximum) with three law students and two non-law students ;or a 3 member team (minimum) with two law students and one non - law student.

Given below is a diagrammatic representation of the team formation procedure.



4. Registration Procedure:

- i. Registration: All the participating institutions have to confirm participation by sending a duly filled Registration Form (Annexure-I&II) and Payment Receipt of Registration Fees by email at mootcourt@dme.ac.in by 11 March 2020.
- ii. Hard Copy of the Registration Form: The hard copy of duly filled registration form must reach the Organizers by 16 March 2020 (Monday) on the following address through Indian Post Office's Speed Post or any other means.

Dr. R.K. Randhawa,
Convener, Moot Court Society,
Delhi Metropolitan Education,
B-12, Block B Industrial Area,
Noida Sector- 62, Uttar Pradesh- 201301

The participating teams must send their hard copy of Registration Form through Post Office's Speed Post or any other means. The teams shall solely be responsible for any delay caused due to delay in receipt of Registration Form.

(Note: Only those law teams who have been selected in the Memorial Evaluation round have to submit the hard copy of the Registration Form)

- iii. **Allotment of Team Code:** Every team that has successfully completed the Registration requisites under the Rules shall be allotted a unique code after the closure of the Registration for the Competition. Once the Code is allotted, every team must use only the team code for any further communication with the Organizers during the Competition.
- iv. **Change in Team Composition:**
 1. Any request for the change in team composition must be intimated to the Organizer at the earliest. Any change must be accompanied with a freshly filled registration form and an authority letter duly signed by the head of the institution (for the law students)
 2. Any request for the change in team composition must be intimated to the Organizer at the earliest. Any change must be accompanied. The changes will only be made if there exist any reasonable ground and scope for the same. (for the non-law students)

- III. The duly filled Travel Form (Annexure-II) is to be mailed by the teams at mootcourt@dme.ac.in
- II. **Registration Fees:**
The participating Teams of law students are required to pay INR 5,500/- (with accommodation) or INR 4500/- (Without Accommodation) as registration fees.
- III. The participating students from the Non-Law background are required to pay INR 4500/- (with accommodation) or 4000 (without accommodation) which includes a policy making workshop that will be free of cost for the registered non-law participants.

Note- 1) The Registration will only be confirmed after the payment of Registration Fees;
2) The Registration amount is Non-Refundable.

5. ***Dress Code:***

- I. Participants shall be appropriately attired for the rounds of the competition. Robes and collar bands are not permitted.
- ii. The Dress Code for the Inauguration, Researcher's Test, Oral Rounds and Valedictory & Prize Distribution shall strictly be:
 - a. **For Gentlemen**-Western Formals (White formal shirt with black formal pants and a black blazer), and western formals / any formal clothing of their respective countries for the non-law students
 - b. **For Ladies** - Western Formals (White formal shirt with black formal pants/black formal skirt and a black blazer) or Indian Formals. For non-law participants casuals are allowed)
- iii. On other occasions while on campus, participants may wear casuals or formals at their convenience.

VI. **CLARIFICATIONS**

Clarifications to the Moot Proposition or any other clarifications shall be sought by sending mail at mootcourt@dme.ac.in on or before 5th March 2020. **Clarification requests sent after this date shall not be entertained.**

VII. **WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS (for the law students)**

1. **Strict Adherence to Rules Regarding Written Submissions:**

All requirements must be strictly followed. Non-adherence to the same will attract penalties as provided under the Rules.

2. **Written Submissions to be prepared from Both Sides:**

Each team must prepare Written Submissions for both sides to the dispute.

3. **Submission of Soft Copy of Written Submissions:**

- I. The soft copies of the written submission from each side should reach the Organizers at mootcourt@dme.ac.in in “.pdf” and “.doc” format latest by 15 March 2020 **before 11:59 PM.**
 - ii. Written Submissions from both sides shall be sent in a single mail.
 - iii. A penalty of 1 mark shall be levied in case the written submission is submitted in any other format or as a multiple file by the team.
 - iv. The team submitting the soft copy of written submissions 2 days after the last date will be disqualified from the competition.
4. **Submission of Hard Copy of Written Submissions on the day of arrival at the**

Registration Desk.

- I. The teams shall carry **six copies of the written submission** from each side and submit the same at the time of draw of lots.

5. No Difference between Soft Copy and Hard Copy of Written Submission:

The hard copy of written submission must be exact replica of the soft copy submitted with the Organizers. Any difference in the same will result in disqualification from the Competition.

6. Penalty for Late Submission:

- i. A penalty of 2 marks per day per side shall be levied in case of delay in submission of soft copy of written submission.
- ii. Hard copies have to be submitted on the day of Draw of Lots i.e. The First day of the competition.

7. General Conditions for Written Submissions:

- i. The Written Submissions shall not contain any form of identification apart from the team code. If any such identification or mark, symbol, etc. which has the effect of identifying the team is found on the written submission, then it shall result in instant disqualification of the participating team.
- ii. Appellant's Written Submission is required to have **blue cover** and Respondent's Written Submission is required to have **red cover**.
- iii. A penalty of 1 mark per side shall be levied in case the teams use wrong cover for written submissions.

8. Guidelines for Formatting:

- i. Written Submissions Structure: The Written Submissions must contain following contents:
 - a. **Cover Page** – The cover page shall contain the case title, side of the written submission, year of competition, name of the forum and team code on top right corner;
 - b. **Table of Contents:**
 - c. **List of Abbreviations:**
 - d. **Index of Authorities** : The Index of Authorities must list all the authorities cited in the Written submission. The Index must indicate the page number(s) and/or the paragraph number (s) of the Written submission in which the authority is cited;
 - e. **Statement of Jurisdiction:**
 - f. **Statement of Facts:** The Statement of Facts must contain a concise statement of the relevant facts of the dispute. As far as may be, the Statement of Facts should be limited to the stipulated facts and legitimate inferences which can be drawn from those facts. Argumentative facts are prohibited. Statement of Facts shall not exceed 2 pages. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark for each exceeded page;
 - g. **Issues Raised:**
 - h. **Summary of Arguments:** The Summary of Arguments must contain a summary of the substance of the arguments and should not merely be a production of the various headings and sub-headings of arguments. The Summary of Arguments shall not exceed 2 pages. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark for each exceeded page;
 - i. **Pleadings/Arguments Advanced:**
 1. All legal arguments must be limited to the Pleadings/Arguments Advanced section of the written submission. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 2 marks.
 2. The Pleadings/Arguments Advanced and Prayer must not exceed 20 pages. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per exceeded page;
 - j. **Prayer.**

Non-compliance with respect to sections (a) to (h) and (j) will result in a penalty of 1 mark for each missing section. Non-compliance with respect to section (i) will result in the written submission not being considered for evaluation at all.

- ii. **Team Code:** The team code must be ascribed on the top right corner of the cover page. The code must be succeeded by the side for which the written submission is prepared. **The teams must use “A” for Appellant, P for “Appellant” and R for “Respondent.”**
For example: in case the Team Code is TC-100 the team must write “TC-100A” in case of written submission for Appellant and “TC-100R” in case of written submission for Respondent.
- iii. **Margin:** The Written submission must maintain an equal margin of 1 inch on all sides. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per each side of Written Submissions.
- iv. **Font, size and line spacing:** The text font should be Times New Roman or Garamond, size 12 and must be in 1.5 line spacing. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 0.5 mark per incorrect format of font, size and line spacing with a maximum of 2 marks per page of the Written Submissions.
- v. **Footnotes:** The footnotes must be in Times New Roman or Garamond font, size 10 and singly spaced. **There should be double line spacing between two footnotes.** The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation (20th Edition) should be followed in the written submission throughout. **Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per page. Substantive/Speaking footnotes are strictly prohibited. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per substantive citation.**
- vi. **Header and Footer:** The font used for the header/footer, if any, shall be Times New Roman or Garamond, size 10, 1 spacing. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per page of the Written Submissions.
Page Limit: **There is no maximum page limit on the Written Submissions and the pleadings/Arguments Advanced shall be of a maximum of 20 pages.** No annexures, photographs, exhibits, etc. should be added to the written submission.
- viii. **Binding of Written Submission:** Since the University encourages eco-friendly practices hence, **it is recommended that the teams bind their written submission with thread or staple the pages and tape the same or use any eco-friendly method of binding.** Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 mark per page of the Written Submissions
- ix. The written submissions shall be marked on a score of 100 marks and the parameters which are laid down as under

Sr. No.	MARKING CRITERIA	MARKS ALLOTTED
1	Evidence of Original Thought	20
2	Knowledge of Law and Facts	20
3	Proper and Articulate Analysis	20
4	Structure, Language and Grammar	15
5	Extent and Use of Research	15
6	Correct Format and Citation	10
	Total	100

VIII. WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS (for Non – law students)

1. Strict Adherence to Rules Regarding Written Submissions:

All requirements have to be strictly followed. Non-adherence to the same will attract penalties as provided under the Rules.

2. Letter to the bench attracting its attention towards the problem raised in the moot proposition

3. The participants must draft a policy on the social issue raised in moot proposition, the guidelines of which will be sent to the teams formed after the second stage of team formation.

2. Submission of Soft Copy of Written Submissions on the day of arrival:

i. The teams are required to send the abstract of the draft policy to policy@dme.ac.in on the day of arrival.

ii. The final policy after the court room hearings shall be sent to policy@dme.ac.in on the Final day i.e. The Third day of the competition till 10 AM.

xxii. The teams shall carry 10 copies of the said “letter to the bench”. Hardcopy will be submitted in 10 envelopes along with the memorials, during the desk registrations with the team code written on the envelopes.

xxiii. The written submissions (both letter and policy) shall be marked on a score of 100 marks and the parameters which are laid down as under

Sr. No.	MARKING CRITERIA	MARKS ALLOTTED
1	Social awareness	20
2	Knowledge of Facts	20
3	Proper and Articulate Analysis	20
4	Structure, Language and Grammar	15
5	Extent and Use of Research	15
6	Correct Format and Citation	10
	Total	100

IX. ORAL PLEADING ROUNDS

1. *General Procedure:*

- i. Teams are requested to bring five (5) hard copies of the Written Submissions for each side to be submitted during the draw of lots on 20th March 2020.
- ii. The language to be used during the Oral Pleading Rounds shall only be English.
- iii. The Competition shall consist of the following rounds:

a. **Preliminary Rounds;**

- i- Prelims 1

b. **Advanced Rounds:**

- i. Quarter finals
- ii. Semi-Finals
- iii. Finals

- iv. During each of the above-mentioned rounds, the order in which the teams shall present their arguments is as follows:

- a. Appellant Speaker 1;
- b. Appellant Speaker 2;
- c. Q & A Session between Activists/Victims and the bench
- d. Respondent Speaker 1;
- e. Respondent Speaker 2;
- f. Q & A Session between State Representatives and the bench
- g. Rebuttal: Appellant - Any one of the two Speakers; (on the discretion of the hon'ble bench)
- v. **The Best Speaker (Law Students) Award:** shall be determined on the basis of the individual aggregate score of the speaker taken only from the Preliminary Rounds. Individual Aggregate Score shall be determined as the sum of the following:
 - a) Score of Speaker in Preliminary Round I;
 - b) Score of Speaker in Preliminary Round II; and
 - c) Memorial Scores (In case of a tie)

The Best Social Activist/State representative Award: shall be determined on the basis of the individual aggregate score of the speaker taken only from the Preliminary Rounds. Individual Aggregate Score shall be determined as the sum of the following:

- a) Q&A Score in Preliminary Round I;
- b) Q&A Score in Preliminary Round II; and
- c) Score of "Letter to the bench"

Note- the letter to the bench will be marked out of 20 marks.

- vi. The Researcher shall not be permitted to address the Court during the Oral Rounds. The Researcher may, however, be permitted to pass notes to the Speakers at the discretion of the Judges. Such notes shall be passed through the court clerks present in the court room.
- vii. Teams are not permitted to raise issues in the Oral Rounds that have not been submitted in the Written Submissions.
- viii. The law students will not be allowed to counsel/guide the social activists, state representatives during the Q&A Session but can guide them in addressing the bench, in following the court room etiquettes.
- ix. The non- law teams shall have no communication with the counsels during the court room trials.
- x. The use of mobile phones, laptops, or any other electronic gadgets is strictly prohibited during the Oral Rounds, subject to the discretion of the judges.
- xi. Participants may use their own bare acts, print outs and commentaries, provided that, anonymity of team is not violated during the Rounds.

- xii. The decision of the Judges as to the marks allotted to each team shall be final and binding.

2. *Splitting of Time between Speakers for preliminary Rounds I and II*

- i. Teams shall notify the court masters of the division of time between the 2 Speakers (including time reserved for Rebuttal & Sur-rebuttal) 10 minutes prior to the commencement of the Rounds.

Both the teams shall be granted a time of 45 minutes to argue their sides which includes 15 minutes of the Q&A Session with the social activist / state representative.

- ii. If any of the speakers exceed their reserved time, the additional time shall only be granted on the discretion of the bench.
- iii. While granting additional time, if the additional number of minutes granted is not specified by the Judges, it shall be presumed that the additional time granted is 2 minutes.
- iv. The non-law students from each side will get 15 minutes respectively to put forward their concerns after the speakers from their sides have argued the matter (these 10 minutes include the Q&A Session.)
- v. The finality of the decision as to the time structure and the right to rebut or sur-rebut shall vest with Judges.

X. Compendium:

- i. All relevant case laws and statutory material to be passed on to the Judges during the Oral Rounds may be submitted in the form of a Compendium 10 minutes prior to the commencement of the Rounds to the Court clerks.
- ii. Participants are also permitted to pass on relevant material to the Judges, at their discretion, anytime after the commencement or before completion of the rounds.
- iii. Participants shall ensure that anonymity is not violated while passing on any material to the Judges. If any mark, name, seal, symbol or logo of the institution/college/university is present, participants must ensure that the same is rendered unrecognizable.

XI. Exchange of Written Submissions:

- i. The exchange of Written Submissions shall take place prior to the Oral Pleading Rounds.
- ii. Teams are prohibited from using any marks on the opponent's Written Submissions thus exchanged. Teams are also prohibited from making any copies of the opponent's Written Submission, electronically or otherwise.
- iii. The opponent's Written Submissions shall be returned to the Court clerks after the completion of each Round.

XII. Preliminary Rounds and Quarter Finals Rounds

- i. Every team shall argue twice in the Preliminary Rounds, once for the Appellant and other for the Respondent.
- ii. No two teams shall face each other more than once in the Preliminary Rounds.
- iii. Draw of Lots: The match up of teams in Preliminary Rounds shall be determined on the basis of draw of lots. Draw of lots shall take place on 20th March 2020.
- iv. It is to be noted that the 8 top scoring teams will be qualified to compete in the quarter final.

XIII. Scoring in the Oral Rounds

1. The Preliminary Rounds

- 1.1. There will be two (2) Preliminary Rounds to ensure that each team is given the opportunity to argue from both sides of the Proposition.
- 1.2. The fixtures for the Preliminary Rounds shall be determined by draw of lots.
- 1.3. For the Preliminary Rounds, the winner of each such round shall be determined on the basis of the criteria of evaluation of the memorials scores and the speaker scores, as mentioned in the Rules below.
- 1.4. Each Round shall be decided by awarding a maximum of nine (9) points.

- 1.5. If the difference in memorial scores of the teams facing each other is equal to or exceeds seven percent (7%) of the maximum obtainable score, then three (3) points are to be awarded to the team with the superior memorial scores. If the difference in memorial scores is less than seven percent (7%) of the maximum obtainable score, then two (2) points are to be awarded to the team with the superior memorial scores and one (1) point is to be awarded to the other team. If there is no difference in memorial scores of the two teams then the teams will be awarded 1.5 points each.
- 1.6. If the difference in speaker scores of the teams facing each other, as awarded by each judge in the Preliminary Round is equal to or exceeds seven percent (7%) of the maximum obtainable score, then three (3) points are to be awarded to the team with the superior speaker score. If the difference in speaker score is less than seven percent (7%) of the maximum obtainable score, then two (2) points are to be awarded to the team with the superior speaker score and one (1) point is to be awarded to the other team. If there is no difference in speaker scores between the teams, then the teams will be awarded 1.5 points each for that judge.
- 1.7. If two or more teams have the same cumulative points, then the team with higher aggregate score shall be ranked higher. If two or more teams have the same cumulative points and same aggregate score, the team with the higher cumulative speaker score shall be ranked higher. If two or more teams have the same cumulative points, same aggregate score and same cumulative speaker scores, then the team with the higher gross memorials score, i.e., the score of the memorials without deducting penalties, shall be ranked higher.

Note: the quarter finals will be similar to the prelims but the scores for the best speakers and Social activists / state representatives will not be added.

2. The Semi Final Rounds:

The top-four scoring teams shall compete according to the draw of lots.

The top two teams would be selected for the Final round based on the knockout format.

Q&A session with the activists and the State representatives, will be similar to the preliminary rounds but on the discretion of the bench. The scores for the same will not be granted to them in these rounds.

3. **In case of a tie, the team with higher aggregate speaker scores will be declared the winner for that round. If the aggregate speaker scores of the teams are the same, then the team with higher gross memorial score i.e., the score of the memorial without deducting penalties shall be ranked higher.**

4. The Final Round

- 4.1. The two (2) teams that proceed to the Final Round, as determined by the Rules above, shall each argue only ONCE for the side allotted by a draw of lots.
- 4.2. The team which wins the Final Round shall be declared as the 'Winning Team'. The other team shall be declared as the 'Runners-Up Team'.
- 4.3. The winner of the Final Round shall be determined on the basis of the rules mentioned above (1.6), i.e. based on the score of the oral rounds only. The bench-strength for the Final Round shall be an odd number but not one (1).
- 4.4. In case of a tie, the team with higher aggregate speaker score will be declared the winner for that round. If the aggregate speaker scores of the teams are the same, then the team with higher gross memorial score i.e., the score of the memorial without deducting penalties shall be ranked higher.

7. Scouting:

- i. Scouting is strictly prohibited.
- ii. Any person may submit a complaint regarding Scouting to the Organizers.
- iii. Teams found scouting shall be disqualified immediately.

8. Delay in Appearance/Presentation:

If a team scheduled to take part in a Round does not appear within 10 minutes of the scheduled time, the other team present shall be allowed to submit Ex-Parte.

9. Scoring Criteria for the team as a whole

1	Knowledge of Law	20
2	Application of Law & Facts	20
3	Ingenuity and ability to answer questions	30
4	Style, Poise, Courtesy, Demeanor – speakers and activists/state representatives	20
5	Organization and Flow of Arguments	15
6	Reference to Memorials	10
7	Coordination between the clients/ activists/state representatives and counsels	20
8	International policy references	15
	TOTAL	150

XIV. RESEARCHER'S TEST

1. The Researcher's Test shall be conducted on 20th March 2020.
2. In a team consisting of three members, the member designated as Researcher shall take part in the test.
3. Participants are requested to write only the Team Code on the question paper.
4. The Researcher's Test shall be objective with the questions based on the applicable law, precedents and facts pertaining to the Moot Proposition.
5. The duration of the Researcher's Test shall be 60 minutes.
6. The Researcher's test shall consist of 50 (fifty) objective type questions carrying 2 (two) marks each. Each wrong answer would lead to a deduction of .50 marks.
7. No additional material such as the bare text of Acts, Written Submissions, etc. apart from stationery may be brought by the participant to the test and no such material shall be provided by the Organizers.
8. Score of the Researcher's Test shall be computed as the total of Score in Researcher's Test.
[**Note:** In case two or more students score the same aggregate marks in the Researcher's test, higher rank will be awarded to the student scoring more marks in the written submission of the memorials.]
9. The Winner of the Test shall be determined by the highest score attained.

XV. AWARDS

The following awards shall be awarded in the Competition:

- I. Best Team:** The Team winning the final round of the Competition shall be given a trophy and a cash prize of INR,60,000/- (Indian Rupees Sixty Thousand Only).
- ii. Runners Up:** The runners up team in the final round of the Competition shall be given a trophy and a cash prize of INR 40,000/- (Indian Rupees Forty Thousand Only).
- iii. Best Policy:** The best policy in the policy innovation round of the Competition shall be given a trophy and a cash prize of INR 20,000/- (Indian Rupees Twenty Thousand Only).
- iv. Best Activists/State Representatives:** Shall be awarded a trophy and a cash prize of INR 10,000/- (Indian Rupees Ten Thousand Only).
- v. Best Speaker:** The Speaker(Law-Student) having the highest score in the preliminary rounds shall be awarded a cash prize of INR 10,000/- (Indian Rupees Ten Thousand Only).
- vi. Best Written submission:** The team having the highest average written submission score from both sides shall be awarded a cash prize of INR 5,000/- (Indian Rupees Five Thousand Only)

- vii. **Best Researcher:** The participant having the highest score in the researcher's test shall be given a cash prize of INR 5,000/- (Indian Rupees Five Thousand Only)
- viii. Certificates of merit shall be given to all the teams qualifying for Quarter finals and beyond.
- ix. Certificate of participation shall be given to all the participating teams.
- x. The awards, certificates of merit and certificates of participation shall be given during the valedictory ceremony to be conducted on 22nd March 2020. No certificate will be given to the team before or after the valedictory function.

XVI. ACCOMMODATION & FOOD

- i. Accommodation shall be provided to the participating teams for the duration of the competition.
- ii. All facilities shall be provided only to the registered members of a team and no additional members, parents, observers, coaches or faculty advisors shall be accommodated.

XVII. MISCELLANEOUS

1. General Etiquette:

- i. Participants are expected to behave in a dignified manner and not to cause any inconvenience to the Organizers, the Judges of the Competition or any of the other participants.
- ii. The Organizers reserves the right to take appropriate action for any unethical, unprofessional or immoral conduct.
- iii. Facilities such as photocopying, library usage, internet connectivity, etc. may be provided subject to the convenience of the Organizers and will be informed to the teams closer to the commencement of the Competition. Irrespective of this, participants are requested to make their own arrangements for the same.
- iv. Organizers shall not be responsible for any loss of belongings of the team during the competition.

2. Interpretation of Rules & Regulations:

- i. All interpretations, as well as any waiver, consent or other decisions in the administration of the Competition are at the complete discretion of the Organizers.
- ii. All decisions made by the Organizers shall be final and binding on all participating teams.

XVIII. Correspondence / Contact Data

1. Registration Formalities:

The address for correspondence for all Registration Formalities and Written Submissions is:

Dr. R.K. Randhawa
Convener, Moot Court Committee
Delhi Metropolitan Education, B-12, B Block Industrial Area,
Noida Sector- 62, Uttar Pradesh-201301

XIX. Moot Proposition/Rules and Regulation

Any and all clarifications and queries regarding the Moot Proposition and Rules & Regulations shall be sought only via e-mail to mootcourt@dme.ac.in.

MOOT PROBLEM

Indica is a developing country where numerous MNCs have invested in and, undertaken several developmental projects. Owing to the ongoing development activities, emission of micro pollutants has increased the level of air and land pollution manifold.

Delica is a union territory in Indica and has been labelled as one of the most highly polluted cities. It has a wide capital investment by various investors and is well acclaimed for prestigious educational institutions, monuments and massive infrastructure. This in turn has turned Delica as a favourite, among tourist destinations in Indica.

Although, the Honourable apex court has reiterated that; the right to life guaranteed under Article 21 of the Indican Constitution is inclusive of the right of citizens, to live in a pollution free environment in its various judgments yet, Article 19 of Indican Constitution provides for the right to practice any business and profession. Since Indica is a developing nation, the land and resources are supplied to the investors at comparatively low rate who have invested in developing trade. This has led to increased employment opportunities, development of the state that has led to overall development of the country.

The ground water level of Delica is decreasing at a higher rate due to, its unsustainable usage in various developmental projects undertaken in medical, educational, and other fields. Since Delica has limited resources with multiple uses, the standard of living of the residents is decreasing day by day. Hence, due to decline in air quality, the govt. of Delica has levied additional taxes on residents for providing them with caution equipment like air masks. The government distributed only two masks for each family.

Amroza a social activist, was awarded by the president of USA, and honoured by International Union for Conservation of Nature. She is an avid supporter of people's right to clean environment and the need to preserve the environment. Her works and activism made a positive impact on the society. The society is very much dependent on the activities undertaken and works initiated by Amroza in order to prevent further degradation of environment. She is also a member of United Nations Environment Program. Her social activism lately yielded successful results by putting a ban on use of plastic bag in "Panama". She runs an NGO "Hare Paryavaran Ka Nirman", established for Indican population. The NGO is regulated by the Indican laws and keeps a check on the working machinery of Indica. The NGO is renowned for taking strict action against the policies that are not environment friendly. She has through her NGO, succeeded in making a large portion of population aware of their environment rights and the benefits of living in a safe and fresh environment. She is one of the most popular and favourite figures to have garnered support from various countries.

According to the report, submitted by the "Hare Paryavaran Ka Nirman" NGO,

3096 people die because of increasing pollution level in Delica every year. And 2091 healthy people suffer from lowered immunity levels owing to diseases caused by environmental pollutants and consumption of contaminated water by the residents. The maximum number of deaths are because of air pollution and supply of contaminated water.

"Vinu Pal University" the most prestigious and critically acclaimed educational institution in Delica, attracts students from around the globe to enrol in various professional courses offered by the university and its affiliated colleges. A large portion of students taking admission belong from USA, Canada, UK, Australia etc. to secure job security offered by the companies pursuing their degree from this university. The rapid increase of industrial establishments has led to increase in air pollution. The air quality of Delica has worsened and residents are facing health problems making it almost impossible to continue living there. Due to rising health concerns, the students from many colleges and universities decided to peacefully protest against the govt.'s failure to provide for a safe and healthy environment, thereby expressing their concern to protect the environment from further depletion. But the passing of Citizenship Amendment Act 2019, by the government of Indica, further aggravated the public's dissent with the govt. action. Those in favour of the Act also protested the opposition. The peaceful protest turned violent as the mob was taken over by some miscreants, who damaged public property, assaulted and groped several female protestors and engaged in criminal acts. The chaos provided perfect opportunity for both left and right political parties, to get a clean chit from the accusations of abetment to cause riots and waging war against the government.

According to the report issued by the police department, the violent activities and protests were initiated by the public to express their dissent with the Citizenship Amendment Act, 2019. The report submitted by the department of police also postulates that the residents of Indica indulged in violent activities due to misconception and misinterpretation about the Act.

According to the report submitted by the Criminal Investigation Department, the violent activities were initiated and instigated by the members of the opposition political. It also reveals that half the protestors are unaware about the Act and the real reason as to why they are protesting. It is just a facade to gain publicity. The protest has resulted in damage of property worth rupees 30 crore, belonging to the government of Indica in the states of Delica, Ghaciaban and other states of Indica.

The report submitted by the Delica Pollution Control Committee postulates, that the protestors resorted to violent means to express their dissent by setting public transports and property on fire. Both public as well as private property were damaged. This fire led to an increment of the Delica pollution index by 200 points. Also, large amount of water, approx. 2,00,309 Litres was used for extinguishing the fire and to disperse the angry mob.

Mr. Waytt Maddox, a student of Vinu Pal University, from Australia started facing breathing problems. on 5 January, 2020. After taking light medicines he consulted a doctor and on 6 January 2020, Mr Waytt Maddox underwent some “pulmonary function tests” recommended by the doctor. The reports of the tests stated that Mr. Waytt Maddox was suffering from lung cancer due to inhaling air contaminated by “microscopic pollutants”. Also, his body was found deficient in minerals because of consumption of contaminated water insufficient in vital nutrients. The cancer caused an infection that led his lungs to collapse. He underwent a surgery and lung transplantation, whereby his brother Mr. Soyuz (another student of same university) donated his lung. During the surgery, Mr. Soyuz died because he was unable to recover from the infection caused by the micro particles present in air during surgery.

The Delica police upon investigation found many people guilty for causing riots. According to the police investigation, some Indican students of Vinu Pal University were also involved in the riots and its abetment. Upon further investigation, it was found that Mr. Metox Vens (a foreign national) was a part of the riots through his passive support via whatsapp chat, that led to cancellation of his visa and was asked to deport back to his country. Also Mr. Waytt Maddox, a student of Vinu Pal University, citizen of Australia, was found to be involved in provoking violent reaction of the people through Snapchat to reflect the mob's anger against the amendment act passed by the Govt. of Indica. His visa was also cancelled but owing to his critical situation post-surgery, he was allowed to stay in Indica for his recovery.

A petition was filed by the NGO “Hare Paryavaran Ka Nirman” under Article 32 of the Constitution of Indica, seeking remedy for the losses incurred by the residents due to the pollution caused by gaseous emissions from industries, during festivities and also for the physical injuries and mental trauma suffered by the students, who were peacefully rallying which resulted in riots by the mob. Another petition was filed by the Principal of Vinu Pal University, for claiming the losses owing to rapid decline in admission of foreign students because of the degraded air quality and scarcity of water in Delica. Another petition was filed by Mr. Waytt Maddox under Article 32 of the Indican Constitution, claiming his right to stay in Indica for completing his education and seeking permission, to allow Mr. Metox Vens to return to Indica for pursuing his education. The apex court has clubbed all the petitions, decided to hear the matters together and pronounce the judgment on 21st of March 2020.

A letter was sent to the bench in which the problems and perceptions were explained by an individual.

Note:

- 1. Indica is a country like India and its laws/rules/regulations are pari passu to that of India.**
- 2. Delica is a union territory like Delhi and its laws/rules/regulations are pari passu to that of Delhi.**

Disclaimer – This is a work of fiction. Names, characters, businesses, places, events, locales, and incidents are either the products of the author's imagination or used in a fictitious manner. Any resemblance to actual persons, living or dead, or actual events is purely coincidental. This moot problem is imaginary and for academic purpose only.

TIMELINE OF THE COMPETITION

LAST DATE OF REGISTRATION (SOFT COPY) ALONG WITH SCANNED COPY OF DEMAND DRAFT	11 March 2020
LAST DATE OF REGISTRATION (HARD COPY) ALONG WITH DEMAND DRAFT	16 March 2020
CONFIRMATION OF PARTICIPATION ALONG WITH ALLOTMENT OF TEAM CODE	After Closing of Registration
ACCOMMODATION CONFIRMATION	11 March 2020
LAST DATE TO SEEK CLARIFICATIONS	5 March 2020
RELEASE OF CLARIFICATIONS	7 March 2020
MEMORIAL SUBMISSION (SOFT COPY)	15 March 2020 (11:59 PM)
MEMORIAL SUBMISSION (HARD COPY)	20 March 2020 (On the day of Competition at the Registration Desk)
INAUGURAL CEREMONY/DRAW OF LOTS/RESEARCHER'S TEST	20 March 2020
PRELIMINARY ROUND I & II QUARTER FINALS	21 March 2020
SEMI FINAL ROUNDS FINAL ROUND	22 March 2020
VALEDICTORY CEREMONY	22 March 2020 (5:00 PM)

Email us at:
mootcourt@dme.ac.in

For Information and Updates follow us on Facebook
<https://www.facebook.com/dmenmcc/>

College Address
**Delhi Metropolitan Education,
B -12, B Block Industrial Area,
Sector-62, NOIDA,
Uttar Pradesh - 201301 (India)**

Payment Detail:

In case of Demand Draft:

In favour of
“DELHI METROPOLITAN EDUCATION”
Payable at Noida.

Bank Details for NEFT

Name of The Bank: **HDFC Bank Ltd.**
Branch: **Sector 18, Noida**
College Name: **Delhi Metropolitan Education**
Account Type: **Saving**
Account No.: **50100187447560**
IFSC Code: **HDFC0001592**



1st DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020



TRAVEL AND ACCOMMODATION FORM

Annexure-1

(For both Law Students and Non-Law Students)

DATE: _____

NAME OF THE INSTITUTION: _____

ARRIVAL

DATE OF ARRIVAL: _____

MODE (AIRWAY/ RAILWAY/ BUS/ CAR): _____

NUMBER (AIRWAY/ RAILWAY/ BUS/ CAR): _____

TIME OF ARRIVAL: _____

NAME & NUMBER OF CONTACT PERSON: _____

OTHER DETAILS (like name of Airline/Railway, etc. or other relevant information) :

ACCOMMODATION REQUIRED: YES ☐ NO ☐

SIGNATURE & SEAL OF INSTITUTE



1st DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020



REGISTRATION FORM - Annexure 2(a) (TO BE FILLED IN BLOCK LETTERS) FOR LAW STUDENTS

DATE: _____

NAME OF THE INSTITUTION: _____

ADDRESS: _____

SPEAKER 1: _____

COURSE-YEAR: _____

EMAIL: _____

PHONE NO.: _____

SELF ATTESTED
PICTURE

SPEAKER 2: _____

COURSE-YEAR: _____

EMAIL: _____

PHONE NO.: _____

SELF ATTESTED
PICTURE

RESEARCHER: _____

COURSE-YEAR: _____

EMAIL: _____

PHONE NO.: _____

SELF ATTESTED
PICTURE



**1st DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL
MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020**



MODE OF PAYMENT (DEMAND DRAFT/ NEFT):

DEMAND DRAFT NO. / TRANSACTION ID:

NAME OF BANK :

ISSUING DATE :

*NOTE : Attach a Demand Draft of Rs. _____ /- (_____
_____ Only) in favour of “DELHI METROPOLITAN
EDUCATION” payable at Noida, and send it along with the registration form.

SIGNATURE & SEAL OF THE HEAD OF
THE INSTITUTION OR DEAN/ PROFESSOR



1st DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020



REGISTRATION FORM - Annexure 2(b) (TO BE FILLED IN BLOCK LETTERS) FOR NON LAW STUDENTS

DATE: _____

NAME OF THE INSTITUTION: _____

COUNTRY: _____

ACTIVIST NAME: _____

COURSE-YEAR: _____

EMAIL: _____

PHONE NO.: _____

SOCIAL MEDIA ID LINKS:

COURSE: _____

PHONE NO.: _____

SELF ATTESTED
PICTURE



1st DME INNOVATIVE INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2020



MODE OF PAYMENT (DEMAND DRAFT/ NEFT):

DEMAND DRAFT NO. / TRANSACTION ID:

NAME OF BANK :

ISSUING DATE :

*NOTE : Attach a Demand Draft of Rs. _____ /- (_____

_____ Only) in favour of "DELHI METROPOLITAN

EDUCATION" payable at Noida, and send it along with the registration form.

SIGNATURE & NAME OF INSTITUTION

OUR PARTNERS

